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As any entrepreneur learns, customers ultimately drive success in markets. If a company does not produce a product or a service that people want to buy, the company won’t last long and the entrepreneur will be looking for a new career. Similarly, if specific groups of customers are not in the marketplace, then entrepreneurs won’t produce products for them.

It’s easy to see that markets are a strong mechanism that force entrepreneurs to produce outputs that are useful to customers. Ironically and sadly, schools that groom future entrepreneurs too often don’t consider them as customers when they decide which topics to research.

Where Many Business Researchers Fall Short

Academic literature—including research produced by business professors—is often referred to as a marketplace for ideas. Unfortunately, scholars and entrepreneurs alike have observed that academic research produced by schools often seems to have little -- if anything -- to do with improving the success of its ultimate customer: practicing entrepreneurs. For example, professors Pfeffer and Fong wrote in 2002:

“...there is little evidence that business school research is influential on management practice, calling into question the professional relevance of management scholarship.”

And even the Chronicle of Higher Education laments that too much academic research is "redundant, inconsequential and outright poor.” (http://chronicle.com/article/We-Must-Stop-the-Avalanche-of/65890/)

Why are the research and practice so disconnected in business scholarship? The problem is that research faculty are rewarded on two metrics that have nothing to do with what practicing entrepreneurs need. The first metric is the number of scientific papers they write that are published in prestigious journals, which are exclusively controlled by, and read by, other academics. The second metric is their citation count: the number of times their articles are cited in articles written by other professors.

Neither of these metrics recognize that practicing entrepreneurs as the beneficiaries of the faculty’s research. So professors spend most of their time researching topics that they think will be of interest to other professors—not practicing entrepreneurs. The metrics are also strongly enforced. For example, professors are fired during the tenure evaluation period if they do not perform well on these two dimensions.

As a result, business faculty often research obscure topics of dubious business relevance just because they haven’t been studied before. Rather than associating with entrepreneurs who could generate ideas for truly useful research, professors attend academic conferences with other professors -- to promote their work and, hopefully, increase their citation counts.

Professors who spend time attending industry conferences or immersing themselves in the practice of business end up paying a steep price. They decrease the chances that they will perform well on publication counts and cite counts, and thus can jeopardize their chance for retention and advancement.

Making the Connection

Ironically, a better model that addresses the issue of research relevancy already exists at many university campuses: medical schools. Research in medical schools includes practicing physicians as consumers, and the work is often funded based upon the potential to solve problems that patients actually face.

At many top medical schools, translational research...
Engaging Entrepreneurs as Customers of Business Research

Schools that teach entrepreneurship can increase their relevance by following the medical school model and integrating translational research programs into their high-quality applied science programs. Five changes would increase the relevancy and usefulness of business/entrepreneurship research, and benefit business schools and the practice of business (Eckhardt and Wetherbe, 2014):

- Create translational business appointments for professors who are trained in scientific research techniques and who also wish to be directly involved in business practice.
- Create translational business journals, with scientists, translational scientists and practitioners jointly making publication decisions -- and count publications in translational business journals in promotion decisions.
- Create translational business doctoral programs, to build a cadre of future faculty who have practiced in the business world and can integrate this practical knowledge with their research.
- Occasionally professors obtain federal research grants or corporate grants, but these represent a small minority in business schools (Baker and Wetherbe, 2013). To increase research relevancy, seek out corporate-funded research to ensure research ideas are tested in the marketplace. Research centers can be created around significant ongoing research initiatives. (Wetherbe, 2001).
- Corporate funded research has the potential for conflict of interest. Full disclosure of funding sources; agreements with funders that ensure impartiality; high research standards; and the vetting process of editorial review will address this.
- In evaluating faculty performance, give weight to business consulting activity that results from research, and its impact on businesses.

While straightforward, academics understand that these recommendations require deans and faculty to embrace fundamental institutional change, which is not always easy. The good news is that business schools can build upon their strong scientific capability.

Strategies for Obtaining Corporate Funding

We have had a number of direct experiences in obtaining corporate funding for university research. Here are four strategies for faculty who want to pursue it.

Offer to help solve a problem. This EIX case study details how University of Memphis enlisted the help of FedEx Founder Fred Smith to support research focused on cycle times, which generated new insights that benefited FedEx and other companies.

Serve on a board. When faculty members serve on boards, including entrepreneurial startups, they can discover important, researchable issues. For example, Best Buy was at a crossroads in 1999 when Internet commerce was growing, and its board pondered how to adjust Best Buy’s strategy in this new world of “click vs. brick” retailing. Wetherbe, a Texas Tech faculty member and Best Buy board member, offered to establish a research program at the school, where a
cross-functional team of marketing and information technology researchers could answer important questions about retailing in the future. This led to a $500,000 Best Buy research grant and a 12-year research program investigating Internet buyer behavior.

Set up a research consortium service. An excellent example of this is the MIS Research Center at the University of Minnesota, which is sponsored by 30 corporations in the Twin Cities to investigate innovative uses of information technology (Wetherbe 2001).

Consider a business accelerator. These are a relatively new source of support for startup companies. (Eckhardt, 2015). These organizations provide financing and services to entrepreneurs who are forming or are operating startup companies. Often the accelerator will give a university an equity stake in the new company in exchange for its resources and know-how.

However corporate funding is obtained, the next step is for business schools to integrate science with practice by “innovating how they innovate” and thereby increasing relevancy. Allen (2016) provides another valuable perspective on how to integrate science with practice.

Conclusion
Top-tier business schools are an amazing hotbed of intellectualism. On many campuses, business schools attract the best students, and the scientific capabilities of the faculty of business schools are nothing short of remarkable. The potential is there for research that transforms lives by generating knowledge that improves outcomes for entrepreneurs.

Unfortunately, because the current system does not formally include practicing entrepreneurs in the research process as funders and editors, this amazing scientific engine is often of little use to practicing entrepreneurs.

We need to ensure that academia addresses the real-world problems of practicing entrepreneurs, managers, investors, marketers and business leaders by adding a translational research capability to the impressive research infrastructure of modern business schools.

This is a win/win.
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