Entrepreneur & Innovation Exchange
Published online at EIX.org on July 21 2026
DOI: 10.32617/1435-6a5f8d3f878cd

Why Rural Entrepreneurs Need a Different Business Playbook

Jamie D. Collins (Tarleton State University)
Bill Leaverton (Tarleton State University)

KEYWORDS: advisory, small business, Entrepreneurship, rural entrepreneurship.

Business advice designed for urban startups often fails rural entrepreneurs. Here's how educators, mentors, and policymakers can help.

There is a quiet assumption embedded in most entrepreneurship advice: that the advice was written for someone in a city. That advice assumes access to capital, talent pipelines, co-working spaces, startup weekends, angel networks, incubators, and accelerators, all of which map cleanly onto a world of dense populations, short commutes, and deep resource pools. That world is not the world of rural entrepreneurship. Small businesses make up 85% of all businesses in rural America and comprise roughly 5 million independent businesses, according to the Federal Reserve's Small Business Credit Survey. And for the millions of small business owners operating in rural markets across the country, the mismatch is not just inconvenient. It is consequential.

Both of us have extensive experience owning, operating, and supporting businesses in rural communities, and both of us are full-time business school faculty members. One thing we have learned from both the academic side and from engaging deeply with local entrepreneurs is this: The standard entrepreneurship playbook does not always translate to the rural context.

That is not a complaint. It is an observation with real strategic implications, and it is one that the broader entrepreneurship support community of researchers, educators, policymakers, and practitioners alike would do well to take more seriously.

Rural Entrepreneurship Is Not Urban Entrepreneurship with Fewer Resources

The most common mistake well-meaning advisors make when working with rural entrepreneurs is to treat rural context as a deficit version of the urban one. The logic goes something like this: Rural areas have fewer resources, thinner markets, and less infrastructure, so the goal is to close those gaps. These strategies center on getting rural entrepreneurs closer to what urban entrepreneurs already have.

That framing is wrong, or at least seriously incomplete. Rural small businesses do not simply operate with less. They operate differently. The constraints are real, but so are the advantages, and failing to recognize both leads to bad advice and poorly designed support programs.

A rural entrepreneur who has spent a decade building a business in a small market has typically developed competencies around resourcefulness, community trust, and customer intimacy that are genuinely difficult to teach. Consider what resourcefulness means to the rural entrepreneur. Set against an urban frame, it is more than making do with less. It means solving problems and capitalizing on opportunities without ready access to capital -- human or financial. It means keeping a supply chain functional by negotiating vendor terms three states away on nothing but a handshake reputation. That kind of judgment gets built out of necessity until it becomes a way of life. These competencies are not consolation prizes for lacking something better. They are legitimate competitive strengths, and any support framework that ignores them starts from a flawed premise.

Many observers make the error of treating these strengths as workarounds, as if they were temporary fixes meant to hold a business over until capital, talent, or a path to scale catches hold. They are nothing of the kind. They are the operating model of a business that has already scaled to the size its market will support. Management scholars have started to make a similar case. Hunt, Townsend, Korsgaard, and Naar (2021) argue that research on rural venturing has long been held back by a habit of binary thinking that casts the rural as a lesser version of the urban, and they push for treating rural context on its own terms rather than as a gap to be closed.

What Rural Entrepreneurs Actually Need

We need to know more about the distance between what rural entrepreneurs can readily reach and what they actually need. That gap is not just about capital, although access to capital remains a genuine and persistent barrier. It is also about education, mentorship, and support networks that reflect rural realities rather than transplanted urban models that do not quite fit. A rural market also resists the standard startup wisdom to build, test, and pivot. In a low density market there are not enough customers to support an experiment, and a failed trial is never anonymous. Word travels. The rural operator must know what customers need before launch, rather than discover it through public trial and error.

Seasonal patterns sharpen the stakes. A line of credit that keeps cash flow positive can keep the business from outright bankruptcy when a hard winter freeze derails an important sale. That same closeness produces excellent informal market data, absorbed organically and built into a daily rhythm, as ordinary as knowing where a regular parks his pickup truck at the coffee shop, or when the family heads out on a summer vacation.

Mentorship from people who have been there

Generic small business advice is better than nothing, but advice from someone who has actually built a business in a rural market is worth substantially more. These entrepreneurs know the intricacies of dealing with a thin labor pool, navigating a local banking relationship, managing the dynamics of being a highly visible business owner in a small community, and weathering the particular vulnerabilities of rural supply chains. Peer mentorship networks that connect rural entrepreneurs across regions, not just to urban advisors with limited rural experience, can fill an important gap. Learning from experienced rural entrepreneurs matters.

Mentorship from those who actually built a business provides credibility, a dimension that should not be underestimated. A mentor working from an urban frame of reference will often give advice that is technically sound and still useless. Knowing how to recruit talent in a city of 1+ million people, including two major universities and a reliable public transit system -- versus a seasonal town of 22,000 people -- are two very different skillsets. These gaps are not born of sophistication, but pragmatic relevance.

Too often, rural entrepreneurial support programs are designed around a deficit model, fixated on what owners lack rather than what they have already developed. Experienced owners who have kept businesses alive through supply disruptions, demographic shifts, and downturns know their own resources well, and they do not need a program to remind them of their gaps. The print shop owner knows the building needs paint. The pack-and-mail owner knows the social media needs improvement. Lead with that list and the likely result is disengagement from the very program meant to help. The programs that work start somewhere else. They take stock of the competencies a business already has, then add the one or two capabilities that would actually move it forward: a wider customer base, a cleaner set of books, a second location, a workable succession plan. The print shop owner does not need a lecture on peeling paint. That owner might need help landing the contract to print for the school district.

Education that meets entrepreneurs where they are

Universities and colleges in rural areas carry a particular responsibility to meet entrepreneurs where they are. A business school in a small town should not simply be a pipeline to major metropolitan employers. It should also be producing graduates who see entrepreneurial opportunities in their home communities, who have the skills and confidence to pursue it, and who feel a genuine pull to stay and build something.

Local universities can serve as a recruiting ground against the steady pull of urbanization. Done well, that education offers hope that rural entrepreneurship is a viable and honorable path. It requires a curriculum that takes rural markets seriously, with case studies drawn

EIX.org (2026)
DOI: 10.32617/1435-6a5f8d3f878cd

from rural contexts, project-based learning tied to local business challenges, and community connections that treat the surrounding economy as a living laboratory rather than an afterthought. The most useful cases put students inside the time and place of a local owner working on a real problem, such as the diner weighing whether catering can lift revenue, or the coffee shop figuring out how to hold its ground when a Starbucks opens across the street. Material like that is high value for a rural-serving institution. This also requires faculty who will engage with those communities directly rather than observe from a distance, which is deeper engagement than the urban version requests. It comes from living in a place and absorbing its organic, daily, subtle rhythms rather than reading about them.

Entrepreneurial support networks designed for rural realities

The support structures that work well in urban markets -- those dense networks of investors, advisors, and peer entrepreneurs who can meet for coffee and share referrals -- do not translate directly to rural settings where populations are thinner, distances are often longer, and professional communities are smaller. Part of the reason is the lack of common industry outlets. When there is only one dry cleaner in town, networking within an industry gets harder. Rural entrepreneurial support networks need to be designed purposefully for lower population density. They should leverage digital connectivity, regional partnerships, and creative models for resource sharing that account for the geographic and logistical facts on the ground. The goal is not be to build a pale imitation of a metropolitan startup network and support system. The goal is to build something that actually fits.

Policy and infrastructure that addresses their challenges

Broadband access is perhaps the clearest example. The shift to e-commerce and digital services has opened real opportunities for rural entrepreneurs to reach markets well beyond their immediate geography. A craftsperson in Erath County, Texas can sell to customers in New York. A rural software developer can work with clients anywhere. Those opportunities only reach entrepreneurs who have reliable, affordable high-speed internet. Connectivity is no longer a luxury. It is a baseline competitive requirement, and closing the rural broadband gap is one of the best investments policymakers can make in rural economic development.

A lack of connectivity carries a practical consequence that urban entrepreneurs rarely have to think about. It is not just that an online storefront cannot run without a connection: Nearly every layer of a modern business gets harder. Point of sales systems, cloud-based accounting software, remote employee coordination, and ongoing training all suffer when stable connections are missing or intermittent.

A Different Map for a Different Terrain

An owner operating in a rural market is best served by refusing to measure the business only by metrics built for a different context. Venture-style growth curves, urban market penetration models, hiring frameworks built for deep talent pools, fundraising targets that assume a path to an IPO…These can be useful reference points, but they are not the right map for the terrain. The wrong map leads owners to undervalue real strengths and make decisions that work against them.

This terrain rewards trust-building, community integration, creative resourcefulness, and patient investment in relationships. It punishes what sinks businesses everywhere: poor cash management, weak customer knowledge, and a failure to adapt. It just does so where mistakes are visible, reputations are long, and the room for repairing a damaged relationship is narrower than in more anonymous urban markets. The community also holds a genuine stake in the owner's success that runs well past commerce. People notice whether the diner owner is in the kitchen or out front greeting customers, and absenteeism is tolerated far less than it would be in a city.

The best rural entrepreneurs we have observed carry something else as well: a genuine sense that their community is their partner, not just their market. The businesses that are still standing after tough challenges frequently have these things in common: They genuinely serve the community, hire locally when they can, show up at the school fundraisers and the Chamber events, treat vendors and customers as neighbors rather than transactions, and invest in the place they call home.

That is not just good community relations. It is good strategy. Cowboys and ranchers have always known that you take care of the land and the land takes care of you. Good rural entrepreneurs understand the same thing about their communities. And the advisors, educators, and policymakers who want to support rural

EIX.org (2026)
DOI: 10.32617/1435-6a5f8d3f878cd

economic development would do well to understand it too.

Reference

Hunt, R. A., Townsend, D. M., Korsgaard, S., & Naar, A. (2021). Urban farmers and cowboy coders: Reimagining rural venturing in the 21st century. Academy of Management Perspectives, 35(4), 660–681.

EIX.org (2026)
DOI: 10.32617/1435-6a5f8d3f878cd