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Note: This is the first of two articles that explore crowdfunding’s emergence as an important source of capital for entrepreneurs and others; its history and an overview of how the major crowdfunding platforms work.

For entrepreneurs, attracting capital to finance their entrepreneurial dream is the most significant challenge and struggle, at least initially. A survey by the Kauffman Foundation stated that in 2014, 67% of the funding that drove the 5,000 fastest-growing firms listed by Inc. Magazine came from personal savings (Wiens and Bell-Masterson, 2015). According to the authors, other sources of entrepreneurial funding included family (20.9%), friends (7.5%), venture capitalists (6.5%), government grants (3.8%) and angel investors (7.7%). While these traditional sources of capital funding remain popular with entrepreneurs, the phenomenon of crowdfunding has introduced an alternative source of startup finance. Terry, Schwartz, and Sun (2015) reported that start-up financing through crowdfunding grew from $1.5 billion in 2011 to $10.0 billion in 2014. By 2017 Kaartemo (2017) estimated that the global crowdfunding industry was worth $34 billion and was projected to grow to $300 billion by 2025.

Both equity and non-equity crowdfunding have proven to be productive methods of capital formation for startups. The equity crowdfunding provisions of The Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act (the "JOBS" Act) offer perhaps the most promising development to the facilitation of capital formation for entrepreneurs since the Great Depression. The JOBS Act became law in April 2012 and established a regulatory foundation enabling startups and small businesses to access new capital using crowdfunding. ("Updated: Crowdfunding and the JOBS Act: What Investors Should Know", n.d.). Title III of the JOBS Act, known by the acronym THE "CROWDFUND" Act (Capital Raising Online While Deterring Fraud and Unethical Non-Disclosure Act) creates an exception that allows U.S. securities laws to be amended to allow equity crowdfunding campaigns to be easily employed to raise capital. These crowdfunding-related provisions articulate an evolving process of gathering funds outside traditional means via the Internet for projects, including artistic endeavors and innovative product ideas. Title III of the JOBS Act devised an exception in the securities laws that enabled this type of funding to be used to tender and sell securities. Through this Act, Congress intended to assuage the funding gap, and lower regulatory barriers for startups and small businesses by letting them raise capital from a larger pool of investors (SEC Issues Proposal on Crowdfunding, 2013). While crowdfunding has become a fast-growing avenue that provides capital funding for entrepreneurial start-up ventures, many need a deeper understanding about how it works and what it can achieve.

History of Crowdfunding

Crowdfunding can be defined as “the practice of funding a project or venture by raising money from a large number of people who each contribute a relatively small amount, typically via the Internet: musicians, filmmakers, and artists have successfully raised funds and fostered awareness through crowdfunding” (Crowdfunding, n.d.). Bradley and Luong (2014) wrote that the concept of crowdfunding can be traced to 18th-century Ireland when loans were given to low-income families, primarily in rural areas, to finance home ownership. Called the "Irish Loan Fund," these investments were popular throughout the 1800s, when 20% of all Irish households were financed using this model. The "Irish Loan Fund" notion of low-income financing eventually made its way to India, where Nobel Prize-winning economist Mohammad Yunus’ concept of "microfinancing" served as the genesis of modern-day crowdfunding. By providing $27 in seed money to 42 poor women to begin making and selling bamboo furniture, Yunus created opportunities for self-employment and curtailed consistent exploitation of the poor (Clark, 2011). After recouping his investment and demonstrating the viability of mini-loans to the poor, Yunus secured capital from central banks and foundations to extend the project to others. Yunus's microfinance idea made the leap from a small, localized program to what is known today as the Grameen Bank,
with a clientele of over 8 million borrowers, 97% of them female-operated businesses (Bradley & Luong, 2014).

Today, crowdfunding has evolved to meet the fundraising needs of non-profits, entrepreneurs and independent creative artists of all types (film, game developers, music, theater, et al.), generally employing a donation or rewards incentive model. In 1997, the British rock band Marillion managed to raise $60,000 primarily through online donations to finance a hoped-for farewell tour. Marillion’s success in raising the required capital led to the formation of ArtistShare in 2000, which according to Bradley and Luong (2015) was the original crowdfunding platform to enable artists’ fans to donate to various projects. The ensuing decade witnessed the spawning of additional crowdfunding entities including Kiva, started in 2005, which provided small loans to entrepreneurs in poor areas around the world; Prosper, founded in 2006, the first peer-to-peer lending marketplace in the U.S.; Indiegogo, begun in 2008, enabling people to donate more easily by eliminating the middleman; Peerbackers, started in 2008 with the intent to raise money online to meet a funding goal in exchange for rewards or perks; and Kickstarter, in 2009, a funding podium for innovative projects by fans, friends and the public in return for rewards (Clark, 2011).

In 2012 President Obama signed the JOBS Act into law (The History of Crowdfunding, n.d.). This groundbreaking piece of legislation changed the landscape for crowdfunding platforms. Before April 5, 2012, when the JOBS Act became law, existing crowdfunding entities were allowed only to function on a reward or donation basis. This meant that crowdfunding platforms could offer only a discount, a product, or an enticement in return for monetary funding. The JOBS Act opened the door for the general public to receive equity in an enterprise in exchange for funding (Prive, 2012). Shortly following the law’s enactment, FUNDABLE, an equity crowdfunding platform, was launched to assist entrepreneurs in financing and building their businesses through equity crowdfunding (The History of Crowdfunding, n.d.)

While much of the scholarly research to date has been focused on the United States, crowdfunding is a worldwide phenomenon, impacted by the different experiences and legal frameworks of every jurisdiction (Giudici, Nava, Lamastra, & Verecondo, 2012). Dushnitsky, Guerini, Piva and Rossi-Lamastra (2016) argued that in Europe, crowdfunding has the potential for worldwide access and that crowdfunding is not the sole domain of startups. Existing organizations can tap this resource as the industry continues to expand and grow in sophistication.

Crowdfunding and Entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurship is defined as “the activity of setting up a business or businesses, taking on financial risks in the hope of a profit” (Entrepreneurship, n.d.) Kaartemo (2017) stated that recently, crowdfunding has emerged as a significant force in financing both entrepreneurial and nonprofit enterprises. Vealey and Gerding (2016) supported this notion, writing that crowdfunding has altered the landscape of what it means to be an entrepreneur. While pursuing the entrepreneurial dream will likely continue to be a lonely task, crowdfunding has made it possible for entrepreneurs to appeal to the public for startup funding rather than chase venture capitalists, angel investors, banks, friends and family, or maxing out credit cards. The consequence of this phenomenon, according to Vealey and Gerding (2016), is that the breadth of entrepreneurial activities has expanded from the traditional for-profit opportunities and now includes cultural, social and civic issues that affect the community.

Li, Chen, Kotha, and Fisher (2017) note that crowdfunding offers entrepreneurs the ability to seek initial funding for an enterprise that, in its infancy, may not catch the eye of traditional financing venues. Bankers, venture capitalists, and angel investors typically possess the financial savvy to adequately assess an entrepreneurial idea, while crowdfunding endorsers are often investment neophytes who are driven by a cause or passionate about an idea, product or service. New ventures require professional legal guidance (Trautman, Luppino & Simmons, 2016). Both non-profit and for-profit entities must provide for a legal governance structure before funding (Trautman, 2013; Trautman & Ford, 2018). The rapid rate of technological change brings novel challenges to early-stage enterprises as they seek funding (Trautman, 2016a; Trautman, 2016d; Trautman & Harrell, 2017; Trautman, 2018a and b; Trautman & Ormerod, 2018; Trautman & Molesky, 2019; Trautman & Ormerod, 2019). Social media has become a driving force behind the exploding growth of crowdfunding, requiring the attention of management and the board (Trautman & Mihaely,
However, social media has not been a factor for the more traditional forms of financial backers. The breathtaking rise of crowdfunding and the continuing evolution of entrepreneurial funding create the need to examine further where crowdfunding fits in entrepreneurial funding.

Crowdfunding Finance

New entrepreneurial startups are an integral part of the economy. According to a study by the Kauffman Foundation, entrepreneurial ventures account for as much as 50% of all new job creation (Kauffman Foundation, 2016). Additionally, successful entrepreneurial startups expand not only in size but create new jobs in varied geographic locations. The Center for Rural Affairs wrote that entrepreneurship is the most desirable strategy for rural economic development. In Nebraska, for example, over 70% of net new job creation is the result of people creating their own non-farm related jobs (Center for Rural Affairs, n.d.). Mazerov (2016) reported that while about half of all new startups fail within five years, many turn into fast-growing enterprises with job growth of 20% to 25% or more. Entrepreneurial startups created approximately 3 million jobs per year between 1980 and 2010. Half those jobs may no longer exist, but the other half remained. The firms that survived grew substantially during their first five years of existence, averaging 60% growth, adding another 900,000 jobs to the economy (Mazerov, 2016). The importance of entrepreneurial startups in our economy cannot be overstated.

Entrepreneurs historically have followed a traditional path chasing initial funding for their ventures. These sources typically included self-financing, grants, angel investors and venture capitalists (Cornell, 2014). Exhibit 1 displays the traditional funding sources for early-stage ventures.

Exhibit 1

Traditional Funding Sources for Early Stage Ventures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-Funding (Friends &amp; Family)</th>
<th>Angel Investors</th>
<th>Venture Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>Super Angels</td>
<td>Venture Capital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mollick (2014) contends that crowdfunding now supports not only relatively small artistic and creative type efforts, but also a more extensive range of entrepreneurial projects. The concept in both cases is the same: Raise capital from a large number of contributors who put forth small contributions via the...
internet (Cornell, 2014). Crowdfunding has changed the sources traditionally utilized for funding new entrepreneurial ventures. Exhibit 2 displays the various facets of crowdfunding efforts.

Exhibit Two

The Many Facets of Crowdfunding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donatio Reward</th>
<th>“Pre-order” Crowdfunding</th>
<th>P2P Lending</th>
<th>Equity Crowdfunding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Causes people to pledge contributions for causes and charitable fundraising</td>
<td>“Pre-order” allows small businesses to gain significant funding by going directly to the public</td>
<td>Practical for small amounts only</td>
<td>Practical for nominal fundraising, but does not prove customer demand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typical Range

- Under $10,000 (often under $2,000). Project for small businesses.
- Under $7-10k per project. Many micro-SEC regulations.
- $100k to $500k to $1-$5 million. Attracts many entrepreneurs.

Typical Creative Causes, events. New businesses, product innovation. Small startups, post-seed

Projects

Advantages

- Allows entrepreneurs to efficiently solicit funding from friends and family who primarily want to help the entrepreneur personally.
- Solicits funding from advocates passionate about the idea, as well as feedback and advice.
- Pre-order (advance sales) provide valuable market validation as well as product funding.
- Provides a window for more traditional types of entrepreneurial funding -- including venture capitalists, angel investors, and even banks -- to discover startups they would have likely not found otherwise.

Dis-Advantages

- Practical for small amounts only.
- Costs and fundraising, but does not prove customer demand.
- Pre-order commitments can be very risky.
- P2P lending requires repayment, and usually personal guarantees.
- Significant overhead costs in preparing, regulations still in flux.

Husain and Root (2015) argue that a significant number of entrepreneurial startups cannot access the necessary financing they require to grow and that crowdfunding provides entrepreneurs a path to bridge the gap between early-stage financial needs and the capital required for long-term growth. Husain and Root (2015) contend that the 2008/2009 global recession created a scenario in which banks were reluctant to lend to early-stage businesses and often forced fledgling entrepreneurs to spend inordinate amounts of time in the application process rather than operating their business. The authors argue that an additional benefit of crowdfunding is that it provides a window for more traditional types of entrepreneurial funding -- including venture capitalists, angel investors, and even banks -- to discover startups they would have likely not found otherwise. With the value of crowdfunding campaigns firmly established in the literature, we next examine two of the larger crowdfunding platforms to gain a sense of how they operate and fit into the overall scheme of entrepreneurial financing.

Kickstarter
Kickstarter has emerged as the largest crowdfunding platform that entrepreneurs of an artistic or creative nature can employ to seek financing for their projects (Moreau, 2018). Kickstarter utilizes an all-or-nothing funding model. If the entrepreneur’s project does not reach 100% of its goal, the funds are not collected, and no exchange of money takes place (Funding, n.d.). According to Zouhali-Worrall (n.d.), successful projects pay 5% of funds raised to Kickstarter, and they also pay 3% to Amazon Payments, the organization that processes contributions. Mollick (2016) reports that individuals are responsible for creating two-thirds of all Kickstarter projects, with about a third by teams. Average team size is 3.1 members, with friendship the most reported relationship, followed by spouses. Most project creators are reported to be between 25-34 years of age, with an average age of 38; 41% are female; and 83% non-Hispanic whites. They are generally highly educated: 82% are college graduates, of which 34% hold advanced degrees (Mollick, 2016).

Kickstarter reports that as of March 8, 2018, 140,135 projects have achieved successful funding, receiving pledges of $3.5 billion. 17,363 projects raised less than $1,000; 77,964 raised $1,000 to $9,999; 20,204 raised $10,000 to $19,999; 19,766 raised $20,000 to $99,999; 4,560 raised $100K to $999,999; and 278 raised $1 million (Stats, 2018). Exhibit three displays the percentage of projects successfully funded through Kickstarter as of March 8, 2018.

**Exhibit Three**

*Percentage of Successfully Funded Projects on Kickstarter.com as of March 8, 2018.*

With only 14.1% of non-artistic type projects funded by Kickstarter.com (journalism, technology, and publishing), Kickstarter.com has become one of *the go-to* crowdfunding platforms for creative and artistic entrepreneurial ventures. As of March 8, 2018, exhibit four displays the number of Kickstarter projects successfully funded by category.

**Exhibit Four**

*Number of Successfully Funded Projects on Kickstarter.com As of March 8, 2018, By Project Category*

Indiegogo

Danae Ringelmann, Eric Schell, and Slava Rubin founded Indiegogo after struggling to find a solution to the problem of optimizing the crowdfunding process and making capital-raising campaigns more widely available. Indiegogo was launched in 2008 and has assisted with over 800,000 creative ideas with more than 9 million supporters (About Us, n.d). Indiegogo offers entrepreneurs two types of crowdfunding campaigns: fixed and flexible. With fixed funding campaigns, the entrepreneur receives the money raised only if the funding goal is fully achieved. If the goal is not achieved, Indiegogo refunds all monies within five to seven business days. In contrast, flexible funding campaigns allow the entrepreneur to keep the money raised regardless of whether the funding goal of the campaign is achieved (Choose Your Funding Type, n.d.). For fixed campaigns, Indiegogo charges 4% of the total funds raised along with a 3% to 5% credit card processing fee. For flexible campaigns, Indiegogo charges 4% if the total funding goal is achieved and,
should the funding goal not be reached, 9% plus a 3% to 5% credit card processing fee (Choose Your Funding Type, n.d.). To expand its operations, Indiegogo created "Generosity," a fundraising site designed to raise money for personal or social causes that charge no platform fee but levies a 3% payment processing charge and 30 cents per-donation fee (Cowley, 2015).

Indiegogo does not disclose a full range of statistics including product category, or the number of successful campaigns. In 2017, Indiegogo launched a total of 98,000 campaigns with 1.9 million total backers providing 24 million contributions (Looking Back, 2017).

Differences Between Kickstarter and Indiegogo

These two giants of the crowdfunding industry have four primary differences:

1) Kickstarter accepts backers from around the globe but initiating projects is available to individuals only from the U.S., UK, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Netherlands, Denmark, Ireland, Norway, Sweden, Germany, France, Spain, Italy, Austria, Belgium, Switzerland, Luxembourg, Hong Kong, Singapore, Mexico and Japan who meet the site’s terms of use (Creator Questions, n.d.). In contrast, Indiegogo considers itself an international crowdfunding site where an entrepreneur from anywhere in the world can raise money for a wide variety of projects (Moreau, 2018).

2) Indiegogo offers more project categories than does Kickstarter. Possibilities include: animals, art, comics, community, dance, design, education, environment, film, food, gaming, health, music, photography, politics, religion, small business, sports, technology, theater, transmedia, video, web and writing. Of the top 20 most-funded projects, the top 10 are either technology or design (Shopify, n.d.). Kickstarter is the largest crowdfunding platform for projects of a creative nature (Moreau, 2018).

3) Kickstarter follows the fixed funding model that requires payment only if the project fundraising goal is achieved. Indiegogo offers both fixed and flexible funded campaigns (Shopify, n.d.).

4) Kickstarter requires the approval of an application that assures the campaign is about a project that falls under their categories. Indiegogo has no such application process. Anyone can initiate a campaign without approval. Create a campaign and get started (Moreau, 2018).

Not All Crowdfunding Campaigns Are Successful

Most crowdfunding campaigns are not totally successful. Clifford (2016) stated that the majority of crowdfunding campaigns do not meet their monetary goals. Kickstarter reports a 36% success rate of reaching 100% of the funding goal (Stats, 2018). According to Clifford (2016), in 2015, Indiegogo achieved a 13% success rate with projects achieving 100% of their funding goal. Exhibit five displays the fully funded (100%) success rate of these two crowdfunding giants.

Exhibit Five
As of March 8, 2018, Kickstarter reports that 249,532 projects failed to achieve their funding goals, and 21.7% of Kickstarter projects finished their campaign without receiving even a single pledge (Stats, 2018). Several factors are attributable to the discrepancy between crowdfunding platform success rates. The funding model (fixed or flexible), restrictions regarding the type of project, length of the campaign, proper exposure by the platform of choice, the momentum behind the campaign, and the effective utilization of social media to promote the campaign (How likely is your crowdfunding campaign to succeed?, n.d.). Exhibit six displays the number of unsuccessfully funded Kickstarter projects by project category.

Exhibit Six

Number of Unsuccessful Projects on Kickstarter.com

As of March 8, 2018, By Project Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Category</th>
<th>Unsuccessful Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dance</td>
<td>1,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journalism</td>
<td>9,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theater</td>
<td>6,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comics</td>
<td>5,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crafts</td>
<td>6,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photography</td>
<td>7,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>16,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fashion</td>
<td>17,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>15,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>20,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Games</td>
<td>33,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>36,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>37,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publishing</td>
<td>10,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film &amp; Video</td>
<td>41,301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stats, 2018

Conclusion

Part one of our two-part article has presented a few thoughts about the history and evolution of crowdfunding and how artists and entrepreneurs have successfully used crowdfunding as one of several methods of attracting capital. In our next installment (part two), we look at how regulations are evolving in response to these new funding mechanisms and the rapid technological change that is making them possible.
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